

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,551	12/14/2000	Satoshi Kidooka	P20361	6566
7055 7	590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			LAM, ANN Y	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/735,551	KIDOOKA, SATOSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann Y. Lam	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 29 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu, Japanese Utility Model Publication No. Hei-7-51066, in view of Nita et al., 5,916,192

Shimizu discloses the invention substantially as claimed. More specifically, Shimizu discloses an endoscope comprising:

a treatment instrument channel (i.e., endoscope, page 1); an endoscopic spraying instrument (4) comprising:

a liquid supplying tube (7) configured to pass liquid therethrough and to be removably inserted into the treatment instrument channel (endoscopes, page 1);

a rotatingly guiding groove (8) disposed at a leading end side of the supplying tube and configured to rotate the liquid about a central axis;

a liquid rotating chamber (10) disposed at a leading end side of the rotatingly guiding groove, the liquid rotating chamber configured to rotate the liquid therein;

Art Unit: 1641

a spray nozzle (distal end portion of 10) formed in a leading end wall of the liquid rotating chamber and configured to discharge the liquid from the liquid rotating chamber; and

an annular, protruded wall (5a) spaced outwardly from an outer periphery of the spray nozzle, the wall protruded forwardly from and surrounding an exit of the spray nozzle.

As to claim 3, a wall surface (distal end portion of 5a) extending between the outer periphery of the spray nozzle and the annular, protruded wall (proximal end portion of 5a) is defined by a planar surface perpendicular to an axis of the spray nozzle. (Examiner notes that Applicant has not specified in claim 3 as to which axis Applicant is referring, e.g., longitudinal axis, etc.)

As to claim 4, a wall surface of the annular, protruded wall (5a) is parallel to an axis of the spray nozzle (see page 6, last paragraph, and figure 2.)

(Examiner notes that Applicant has not specified in claim 4 as to which axis Applicant is referring, e.g., longitudinal axis, etc.)

As to claim 5, a wall surface of the annular, protruded wall (5a and 5b) is defined by a forwardly spread surface or a forwardly constricted surface (see page 6, last paragraph, and figure 2.)

As to claim 29, the liquid supply tube (7) is substantially coaxial with the spray nozzle (10), (see figure 2.)

However, as to claim 1, lines 14-15, Shimizu does not disclose a wall surface extending between the outer periphery of the spray nozzle and the annular, protruded wall defined by one of a tapered surface and a curved,

Art Unit: 1641

concave surface. Shimizu discloses a similar wall that is tapered and concave (5b), but it is not curved.

Nita et al. discloses a catheter comprising a tube wherein the tube has at its distal end, a wall defined by a tapered, curved and concave surface (see concave indentation 34), wherein irrigation fluid may be infused through the tube and out of the distal tip member (col. 3, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Shimizu reference by having the tapered concave surface be curved, as taught by Nita et al., since Applicant has not disclosed that this configuration solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the taper disclosed by Shimizu would perform equally well. Furthermore, such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size or shape of a component. A change in size or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Art Unit: 1641

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800-/691